NEW CASES OF VERTEBRATE PREDATION BY THE RING-TAILED LEMUR (*LEMUR CATTA*), WITH REFERENCE TO DIFFERENCES FROM *EULEMUR* SPECIES AND OTHER PRIMATES
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ABSTRACT  Vertebrate predation by the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*) has rarely been observed, although this species is one of the most intensively studied lemur species. In this paper, we report four new cases of vertebrate predation by ring-tailed lemurs at Berenty Reserve, Madagascar, and summarize the characteristics of this behavior. In all cases, females preyed on chameleons in the rainy season. They caught the chameleons with their hands, killed them by biting off the heads, and subsequently ate the bodies. While they were consuming their prey, they were approached by other females, one of whom snatched the prey away. In contrast, males were not interested in the prey, except one juvenile. This study showed that vertebrate predation behavior by ring-tailed lemurs seems to be (1) one involving the craniocervical killing bite, (2) female-biased, (3) low in frequency compared to closely related *Eulemur* species, and (4) seasonal.
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INTRODUCTION

Vertebrate predation has been reported in at least 38 species of free-ranging nonhuman primate (Butynski, 1982) and has been discussed from ecological viewpoints, such as diet or predator-prey relationships, and from anthropological perspectives, such as the origins of human hunting (Teleki, 1975; Rose, 1978; Steklis & King, 1978).

Vertebrate predation by non-nocturnal (diurnal and cathemeral) lemurs has rarely been observed, especially in wild populations (Tattersall, 1982; Clarke, 1987; Mittermeier et al., 2006). Recently, however, several cases of vertebrate predation have been reported, and vertebrates have come to be considered a part of non-nocturnal lemur diets, especially in *Eulemur* species (Jolly et al., 2000; Mizuta, 2002; Simmen et al., 2003).

Unlike *Eulemur* species, the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*) does not appear to prefer vertebrates as a food resource. For example, in experiments performed in captivity, *Eulemur* species showed interest in and consumed vertebrates, whereas ring-tailed lemurs did not (Jolly & Oliver, 1985). Glander et al. (1985) also reported that ring-tailed lemurs in colonies at the Duke University Primate Center showed no interest in dead birds, although *Eulemur fulvus* and *Eulemur macaco* consumed some birds and lizards.
Only one case of vertebrate (chameleon) predation by ring-tailed lemurs has been reported (Oda, 1996). Here, we report four new cases of vertebrate predation by ring-tailed lemurs and summarize the characteristics of this behavior with reference to differences from closely related Eulemur species and other primates.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

These incidents were observed at the Berenty Reserve in southern Madagascar, where ring-tailed lemurs have been studied intensively by many researchers since the 1960s (Jolly et al., 2002; 2006). All except one case (case 4) were observed in the same troop (Troop T1A), which has been studied continuously by Koyama and his colleagues since 1989. Kin relationships among females in this group were thus known (Koyama et al., 2001; 2002). Troop T1A consisted of 11 individuals in November 2001 (case 1), including 4 lactating females, 1 non-lactating adult female, and 3 adult males. In November–December 2005 (cases 2 and 3), it consisted of 15 individuals, including 3 lactating females, 3 non-lactating adult females, and 5 adult males.

Three (cases 1, 3 and 4) of the four incidents were observed by SI and one (case 2) was observed by HR. SI has studied the troop since 1998 and could readily identify all troop members. HR could also identify all adult members of the troop.

RESULTS

Case 1: 2 November 2001

Troop T1A was moving through the forest toward a water basin at the edge of the open area. At 16:47, SI found that a lactating female (KUB-9096♀) had climbed a small *Pithecellobium dulce* tree. At that time, her mother (KUB-90♀) and three juveniles were nearby. KUB-9096♀ suddenly grasped a chameleon sitting on a branch about 2 m from the ground. She tried to bite the chameleon’s head, but failed due to the chameleon’s efforts to escape. She had descended to the ground while struggling with the chameleon. KUB-9096♀ then bit the chameleon’s head again and the chameleon stopped moving; it had probably died. She then began to feed on the chameleon (Fig. 1).

At 16:50, other troop members moved to the open area and KUB-9096♀ was left alone. At 16:52, she moved to the open area, clutching the dead chameleon in her mouth. At 16:53, her 1-year-old brother (KUB-9000♂) approached her and she attacked him. At 16:54, KUB-9096♀ climbed an *Azadirachta indica* tree in the open area and continued to consume her prey. At 16:56, her aunt, a dominant female (KUB-93♀), approached her three times. KUB-9096♀ fled while emitting a submissive call (the spat call) but did not surrender the prey to her aunt; instead,
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she resumed eating it.

At 16:58, KUB-9096♀ suddenly dropped the dead chameleon from her hand. No troop member, including KUB-93♀, approached the remains of the dead chameleon. KUB-9096♀ lost interest in the chameleon and began to eat Melia azedarach leaves. She subsequently left the area with the other troop members, leaving the remains of the dead chameleon behind.

The remains of the dead chameleon consisted of the tail, left hind leg, thigh of the right hind leg, and a small part of the intestines. The lengths of the tail, thigh, calf, and foot were 13.3 cm, 3 cm, 2 cm, and 1 cm, respectively. All other parts, including bone and skin, had been completely consumed.

Case 2: 10 November 2005

At 09:00, the members of Troop T1A were resting on the ground and in the trees in the forest. At 09:02, a lactating female (KUB-9001♀) caught a chameleon at a distance of about 20 m from the other group members. She struggled with the chameleon, trying to bite its head; the prey tried to escape by biting her.

At 09:03, the chameleon stopped moving and KUB-9001♀ began to eat it. At 09:33, the second-ranking non-lactating female (KUB-93♀), who was her aunt, approached her. KUB-9001♀ fled from the scene emitting spat calls. At 09:34, KUB-93♀ followed and acted aggressively toward KUB-9001♀. KUB-9001♀ gave up and KUB-93♀ won the rest of the dead chameleon, which was around one-fourth of the total body size. KUB-9001♀ tried to reclaim the dead chameleon by approaching KUB-93♀ with spat calls and trying to groom her. However, KUB-93♀ did not surrender it.

KUB-93♀ left the area at 10:09; only the tail of the dead chameleon remained...
behind, and no lemur was interested in it. All other parts of the prey, including bone and skin, had been completely consumed. At 10:10, the troop began to rest. The spines on the chameleon’s back indicated clearly that it was a spiny chameleon (*Chamaeleo verrucosus*; Fig. 2).

Case 3: 11 December 2005

At 16:17, the members of Troop T1A were eating cacti near the open area. At 16:30, they began to move into the forest.

At 16:52, SI found that a lactating female (KUB-9001♀) had climbed a branch to a height of approximately 2.5 m from the ground while emitting a spat call, probably due to an approaching dominant female. At that time, KUB-9001♀ had part of a dead chameleon, whose head had already been eaten, in her mouth. She continued to eat it on the branch. At 16:55, she finished eating the chameleon’s body and hind legs and continued to chew the tail.

At 16:56, the tail fell from her hand and she lost interest in it. No other troop member approached the tail. At 16:59, KUB-9001♀ began to travel with the other troop members. Only the tail of the chameleon remained. All other parts, including bone and skin, had been completely consumed. Although we could not estimate the size of the chameleon, it seemed to be a relatively small individual.
Case 4: 8 February 2011

At 18:19, SI found that an adult female of an unknown group (Female A) was eating a chameleon on a tree (about 2 m above the ground) in the Ankoba forest area. She was biting its head while holding it in both hands. The chameleon was not moving and appeared to be dead. One adult female (Female B) was the only other lemur near Female A. Neither female had an infant.

At 18:22, Female B approached Female A and sniffed the chameleon in A’s hands. Female A acted aggressively toward Female B and B fled from her. Female A then resumed eating the chameleon. At 18:28, Female B approached Female A again. At 18:29, Female B stood up on the branch and then sat down again in the same place.

At 18:30, Female A approached Female B and B fled from her. Female B moved to Female A’s former location and sniffed repeatedly at the branch. She then approached Female A slowly and grabbed the chameleon in A’s hands. Female A acted aggressively toward Female B and B fled from her. Female A then resumed eating the chameleon.

At 18:35, Female A approached Female B and B fled from her. Female B moved to the place where Female A was sitting and sniffed at the branch again. Female A resumed eating the chameleon.

At 18:36, Female A moved to another branch about 5 m above the ground with the chameleon in her mouth. At 18:37, she moved again in the tree, and at 18:38, she disappeared into a large *Tamarindus indica* tree. It is unknown whether she consumed all parts of the chameleon or not, as the rest of the chameleon was not found.

Summary of *Lemur* and *Eulemur* Vertebrate Predation

We have summarized all previously reported cases of vertebrate predation by non-nocturnal lemurs, including the cases reported here (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge, vertebrate predation among non-nocturnal lemurs has been reported only in *Lemur* and *Eulemur* species (see also Clarke, 1987). At least 25 cases of vertebrate predation in captivity and in the wild have been reported (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Vertebrate predation by ring-tailed lemurs is a relatively rare and opportunistic behavior. In the course of long-term study of this species at Berenty for more than 40 years (since 1963; Jolly et al., 2002), only five cases of vertebrate predation, including our four cases, have been recorded. Additionally, there is no report of vertebrate predation in the Beza Mahafaly Special Reserve, another site where long-term studies of ring-tailed lemurs have been conducted (Sauther et al., 1999; Gould et al., 2003; Sussman & Ratsirarson, 2006), although Sauther (1992) reported an attempted bird predation incident.

On the basis of the present cases, we discuss the characteristics of this behavior with respect to the following: (1) the process of predation by ring-tailed lemurs,
Table 1. A summary of the observed cases of vertebrate predation by the *Eulemur* and *Lemur* species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Month, year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Captive/wild</th>
<th>Species of predators</th>
<th>Sex of predators</th>
<th>Prey categories</th>
<th>Prey</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nov. 2001</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Lemur catta</em></td>
<td>Female (Adult)</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>This study (Case 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nov. 2005</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Lemur catta</em></td>
<td>Female (Adult)</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon (<em>Chamaeleo verrucosus</em>)</td>
<td>This study (Case 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dec. 2005</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Lemur catta</em></td>
<td>Female (Adult)</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>This study (Case 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Feb. 2011</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Lemur catta</em></td>
<td>Female (Adult)</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>This study (Case 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sept. 1998</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Eulemur rufus × E. collaris</em></td>
<td>Female (Adult)</td>
<td>Mammalia</td>
<td><em>Lemur catta</em> (infant)</td>
<td>Ichino (personal observation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Eulemur rufus × E. collaris</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>Jolly et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Eulemur rufus × E. collaris</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>Jolly et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Berenty</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Eulemur rufus × E. collaris</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Reptilia</td>
<td>Chameleon</td>
<td>Jolly et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Manchester (UK)</td>
<td>Captive</td>
<td><em>Eulemur rufus</em></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Mammalia</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Jolly et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ampijoroa</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td><em>Eulemur fulvus</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Aves</td>
<td>Unknown birds and/or eggs</td>
<td>Thalmann (cited in Curtis, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>Captive</td>
<td><em>Eulemur mayottensis</em></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Aves</td>
<td>Blue tit</td>
<td>Jolly &amp; Oliver 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>Captive</td>
<td><em>Eulemur mongoz</em></td>
<td>Male and Female</td>
<td>Aves</td>
<td>Spallow</td>
<td>Jolly &amp; Oliver 1985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2) sex differences in the behavior, (3) differences between *Lemur* and *Eulemur* species in the frequency of the behavior, and (4) seasonality of the behavior.

The process of the observed predation was similar to that of classic predation, including the use of the craniocervical killing bite (Steklis & King, 1978; King & Steklis, 1984). The lemurs caught the chameleons with their hands, killed them by biting off the heads, began eating them at the heads, and left the lower parts of the bodies uneaten. They probably attempted to kill the chameleons first because they tried to escape after they were caught (cases 1 and 2). Thus, our observations are consistent with the widespread descriptions of the use of the craniocervical killing bite in primates (King & Steklis, 1984).

Chameleons seem to be the most common vertebrate prey of ring-tailed lemurs in the wild. In all five cases, including one previously described case (Oda, 1996), ring-tailed lemurs preyed on chameleons. No other vertebrate prey has been reported (Table 1). Only two chameleon species (*Chamaeleo lateralis*, *C. verrucosus*) are known to be present in Berenty (Oda, 1996), and both were preyed upon by ring-tailed lemurs (Table 1). In captivity, *Eulemur* species preyed upon and consumed some birds, lizards, and mice (Glander et al., 1985). However, in the wild, *Eulemur* species have been reported to prey only on chameleons, infant ring-tailed lemurs, and the nestlings/fledglings or eggs of some birds (Table 1). In all cases of *Eulemur* predation on infant ring-tailed lemurs, the infants were very small and probably could not move by themselves (Pitts, 1995; Jolly et al., 2000; Ichino, pers. obs.). Thus, chameleons appear to be the only mobile vertebrate prey of *Lemur* and *Eulemur* species in the wild. Birds and lizards probably move too quickly to be captured by lemurs in the wild.

In all cases, only adult females preyed on chameleons and other females subsequently approached; one succeeded in snatching the prey (case 2). In contrast, no males predated upon or approached the prey, except one juvenile (case 1). This female-biased predation pattern has also been observed in *Eulemur* species in captivity (Glander et al., 1985). In contrast, male-biased predation patterns have been reported in anubis baboons (Harding, 1973; 1975), chimpanzees (Teleki, 1973; Stanford et al., 1994), and white-faced capuchins (Fedigan, 1990; Rose, 1994).

The lack of sexual dimorphism in body mass among lemurs (Kappeler, 1991) might explain the female-biased predation patterns of *Lemur* and *Eulemur* species. Koyama et al. (2008) found no sex difference in the body mass of adult ring-tailed lemurs at Berenty. Most (92.2%) female ring-tailed lemurs at Berenty give birth every year, and 82.0% of births occur in September (Koyama et al., 2001). It is, therefore, expected that the energy requirements of most adult females may be higher than those of adult males during the lactation period (approximately September–January at Berenty). In three of four cases (cases 1–3), lactating females preyed on the chameleons. Lactation is the most costly reproductive period for female mammals (Clutton-Brock et al., 1989), and some studies of non-human primates (Sauther & Nash, 1987; Tirado Herrera & Heymann, 2004) have suggested that female protein requirements may increase during lactation. The need for protein or other micronutrients, such as vitamin B$_{12}$ (Hausfater, 1976), may thus underlie the predatory behavior.

The female-biased predation pattern cannot be explained simply by the number
of males in a group. Among group-living lemurs, the number of males is generally nearly equal to that of females in a group (Kappeler, 2000). The sex ratio of Troop T1A (cases 1–3) was not strongly biased toward females (see SUBJECTS AND METHODS).

Female dominance (Jolly, 1966; Kappeler, 1990; Pereira et al., 1990) may affect the female-biased predation pattern. However, our observations suggest that male ring-tailed lemurs have no strong interest in chameleons. Moreover, most Eulemur species are not female-dominant (Pereira et al., 1990), although they also showed a female-biased predation pattern (Glander et al., 1985).

Although the predation pattern of ring-tailed lemurs is similar to that of Eulemur species, the frequency of predation by ring-tailed lemurs may be lower than that by Eulemur. Vertebrate predation by ring-tailed lemurs has been reported less frequently than that by Eulemur species (Table 1), although the ring-tailed lemur is one of the most intensively studied lemur species (Jolly et al., 2002). In contrast to Eulemur species, ring-tailed lemurs did not eat vertebrates in captivity (Jolly & Oliver, 1985; Glander et al., 1985). This difference between Lemur and Eulemur species might be explained by differences in diet and gastrointestinal morphology. Simmen et al. (2003) found that brown lemur (Eulemur rufus × E. collaris) were more frugivorous than sympatric ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta) at Berenty in the middle of the dry season. Morphologically, the ring-tailed lemur has a relatively large and haustrated cecum that is suitable for the microbial breakdown of plant cell walls (Campbell et al., 2000). In contrast, the measurement of gastrointestinal transit time using radio-opaque barium-impregnated polyethylene spheres has suggested that microbial processing is not significant in Eulemur fulvus (Campbell et al., 2004). Thus, ring-tailed lemurs appear to be more folivorous than Eulemur species and may require little animal matter.

Interestingly, the frequency of predation may differ among troops. In three cases involving Troop T1A (cases 1–3), the predating females and those who approached them or snatched the prey were part of a kin group (KUB-kin group) within the troop. The predating females were sisters (KUB-9096♀ and KUB-9001♀), and one of them preyed on chameleons twice (cases 2 and 3). The approaching females were their mother (KUB-90♀) and aunt (KUB-93♀), respectively. Females in the kin group may recognize chameleons as food resources. In contrast, Simmen et al. (2003) reported that ring-tailed lemurs in another troop at Berenty mobbed and avoided the chameleons. Thus, different troops at Berenty may respond differently to chameleons.

Predation by ring-tailed lemurs may be a seasonal behavior. All cases, including that reported in a previous study (Oda, 1996), were observed at the beginning or in the middle of the rainy season (November–February). In contrast, vertebrate predation by Eulemur species has been observed at the end of the dry season and in the early rainy season (Table 1).

Seasonality in predation has also been reported for other primates (e.g., Takahata et al., 1984; Stanford et al., 1994 for chimpanzees; Hausfater, 1976 for yellow baboons; Rose, 1997 for white-faced capuchins) and considerable temporal variation has been found in the frequency of predation (Mitani & Watts, 2005). Hypotheses to explain this seasonality can be categorized into three types of causal factors:
New cases of vertebrate predation by the ring-tailed lemur (Lemur catta) ecological conditions, prey characteristics, and predator characteristics (Mitani & Watts, 2005).

Our observations suggest that prey (chameleon) and predator (lemur) characteristics may be causal factors for seasonal predation by ring-tailed lemurs. First, chameleons are active during the rainy season and their skin colors become brighter, enabling other animals to identify them easily (Oda, 1996). Thus, chameleons may become more readily available and easier to capture for lemurs in this season. Second, the seasons of predation also mark the end of the lactating and weaning seasons of ring-tailed lemurs at Berenty (Gould, 1990; Koyama et al., 2001). As discussed above, female ring-tailed lemurs may supplement their protein requirements by eating meat in these seasons.
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